



Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/828.417

Mirasaki et al.

Examiner

Group Art Unit J Hotaling II 3713 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) J Hotaling II (3) Valencia Martin-Wallace (2) Myron Greenspan Reg No 25,680 Date of Interview _____ Mar 15, 2000 Type: \square Telephonic X Personal (copy is given to \square applicant X applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: \square Yes \square No. If yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 23 Identification of prior art discussed: Murata '743 Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A discusssion was has on the replacement phrases and a change in the language to claim 23 that futher limited the claim based on alternate phrases. The examiner stated that Murata '743 does indeed switch phrases based on conditions and as stated in the final office action. No agreement was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 2.

Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also cherked. D. Han L. Wal Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.